GUIDELINES FOR CLEANING TRANSVAGINAL ULTRASOUND TRANSDUCERS BETWEEN PATIENTS
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Abstract—The purpose of this article is to provide guidance regarding the cleaning and disinfection of transvaginal ultrasound probes. These recommendations are also applicable to transrectal probes. (E-mail: Jabramowicz@bsd.uchicago.edu)
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INTRODUCTION

Transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS) transducers (also designated as endovaginal probes in some countries) are routinely used in clinical obstetrics and gynecology. Strict decontamination is essential between patients because these transducers may come into contact with mucous membranes. The main pathogens of concern are human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), cytomegalovirus (CMV), human papillomavirus (HPV), enteric gram-negative pathogens (e.g., Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp.), for both transvaginal and transrectal ultrasound examinations. In addition, specific concerns include gonorrhea and syphilis for TVUS and Clostridium difficile for transrectal ultrasound (Leroy 2013).

CLASSIFICATION OF MEDICAL DEVICES ACCORDING TO INFECTION RISK

Medical devices may be classified according to the infection risk they present. Systems used for this purpose include the original 1957 classification: non-critical, semi-critical and critical (Spaulding 1957), also referred to as low risk, medium risk and high risk (McDonnell and Burke 2011). Accordingly, cleaning of these instruments between uses depends on the aforementioned classification status and ranges from simple wiping to sterilization.

Non-critical devices pose the lowest risk to patients, because the only contact is with intact skin (such as abdominal probes). Low- or intermediate-level disinfection is recommended. Most bacteria (but not bacterial spores) and fungi, as well as certain types of viruses, including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), will be eradicated. If added decontamination is desired (for a wider range of viruses and mycobacteria), additional use of disinfectants, such as alcohol, aldehyde, phenolic and quaternary ammonium compound-based disinfectants, is recommended (McDonnell and Burke 2011). This represents mid-level disinfection (inactivation of bacteria, most viruses, most fungi, Mycobacterium tuberculosis and some bacterial spores).

Semi-critical devices are those that pose a higher risk because of contact with non-intact skin or mucous membranes (as is the case with TVUS probes). High-level disinfection with destruction/removal of all microorganisms except bacterial spores is recommended using various chemical components (see details below).

“Critical devices” pose the highest risk. They are used in sterile body areas, such as the intravascular space. Sterilization of these devices is imperative.

Transvaginal ultrasound transducers are categorized as semi-critical or medium risk (Leroy 2013). The real risk of infection associated with TVUS transducers...
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used without a protective covering or decontamination is unknown. No case of related specific infection (cross-contamination between patients) has been reported in the literature, but ultrasound transducers can become contaminated with bacterial pathogens and, hence, are a potential vector for transfer of microorganisms (Fowler and McCracken 1999; Ohara et al. 1998). It is the recommendation of experts that specific measures be taken to avoid such an occurrence (Westerway et al. 2014). Given the fact that transducers should routinely be encased in a disposable probe cover, the risk may be considered less critical. However, leakage rates of 0.9%–2% for condoms and 8%–81% for commercial probe covers have been reported (Chalouhi et al. 2009; Rooks et al. 1996). These are relatively old studies and numbers may be different today, but the only more recent publication indicated a 9% risk of condom perforation in patients undergoing transrectal biopsy under ultrasound guidance (Masood et al. 2007), and recent data comparing the two types of covers are not available. The presence of human papillomavirus has been reported after low-level disinfection (Casalegno et al. 2012). Therefore, high-level disinfection of the transducer between uses is required. A new condom or probe cover should be applied after each use of the instrument, for a new patient (American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine [AIUM] 2009). Review of clinical practices reveals various protocols, many of which are considered inadequate (Gray et al. 2012). Often, failures in eradication of microorganisms result from poor education and non-optimal adherence to reprocessing guidelines or protocols (Ofstead et al. 2010). An additional consideration is the fact that the transducer handle and cable can also become contaminated and may also require disinfection (Alfa 2015) (see below).

RECOMMENDATIONS

After a patient has been examined, and before the TVUS transducer is used in the next patient, the following procedures should be performed: (i) removal of the transducer cover, (ii) transducer cleaning, (iii) transducer disinfection, and (iv) application of new transducer cover.

After removal of the transducer cover, the transducer is cleaned. Running water is usually sufficient to remove any residual gel or debris from the transducer. Additionally, a damp soft cloth with a small amount of mild non-abrasive liquid soap (such as household dishwashing liquid) may be used, followed by running water. A paper towel or soft cloth should be used to dry the transducer. The additional use of a high-level disinfectant will ensure further reduction in microbial load, and because of potential leakage of the protective sheath (see above), high-level disinfection is recommended. The disinfection method may need to be adapted to local conditions, with the assistance from infection control authorities. High level disinfectants recommended by various ultrasound manufacturers include:

- Glutaraldehyde 2.4%–3.2% products, such as Cidex (Advanced Sterilization Products, ASP, a division of Cilag International, a Johnson & Johnson company, New Brunswick, NJ, USA), Metricide (Metrex Research, Orange, CA, USA)] and Procide (Medline Industries, Mundelein, IL, USA). Mode of action is powerful binding of the aldehyde to the outer cell wall of the organism. These products are sporidical, bactericidal, fungicidal, tuberculocidal and virucidal and has been found to achieve high-level disinfection in 20 min at 20°C and to be long-lasting and reusable for up to 14 days when monitored with CIDEX Solution Test Strips. These products have mostly been replaced by the next type of product.

- Non-glutaraldehyde agents such as Cidex OPA (o-phthalaldehyde). The mechanism of action of o-phthalaldehyde is similar to that of glutaraldehyde. It achieves high-level disinfection in 5 min at 20°C and has long-lasting efficacy (reusable for up to 14 days when monitored with CIDEX OPA Test Strips). The Advantages over the glutaraldehyde agents are its mild odor and its lack of a requirement for activation or mixing, thus reducing handling. Furthermore, it has low vapor pressure for minimal inhalation exposure risk.

- Chlorine dioxide, used extensively in the United Kingdom and Australia (Tristel Trio and Duo, Tristel Solutions Unit 1B, Snailwell, UK), acts as an oxidizing agent. It reacts with several cellular constituents, including the cell membrane of microorganisms and has sporidical, mycobactericidal, virucidal, fungicidal and bactericidal efficacy. Chlorine dioxide has been proven effective against microorganisms of concern in ultrasound, such as hepatitis B and C, HIV, human herpesvirus, simian virus 40 (surrogate of human papillomavirus), Candida albicans, Aspergillus spp., Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus spp., Clostridium difficile, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Mycobacterium avium, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Gardnerella vaginalis, Streptococcus agalactiae and Acanthamoeba castellanii (one of the causative organisms of Acanthamoeba keratitis). The use is relatively simple with (i) a pre-decontamination wipe for gross contamination with a tissue impregnated with an enzymatic detergent; then (ii) use of another wipe which is effective in 30 s against all organisms mentioned above; and (iii) a rinse wipe that is a sterile packed, non-woven tissue impregnated with de-ionized water. It has been reported to be very effective in high-level disinfection of flexible endoscopes (Coates 2001) and...
nasendoscopes (Hitchcock et al. 2016) and would, presumably, be equally effective in TVUS probes. It should be noted that both these studies were supported by a grant from Tristel Solutions (Snailwell, UK), but according to authors, Tristel Solutions had no role in the study design, data analysis, interpretation of results or manuscript preparation. A slightly simpler method, by the same manufacturer, consists of using a tissue impregnated with citric acid onto which is applied an activator solution (sodium chlorite), under a foam form. When mixed, chlorine dioxide chemistry is generated.

- Hydrogen peroxide 7.5% solution works by producing destructive hydroxyl free radicals. These attack membrane lipids, DNA and other essential cell components. Hydrogen peroxide is active against a wide range of microorganisms, including bacteria, yeasts, fungi, viruses and spores.
- Common household bleach (5.25% sodium hypochlorite) diluted to yield 500 ppm chlorine (10 mL in 1 L of tap water), although effective, IS NOT recommended by manufacturers because of potential damage to metal and plastic parts of the transducer.

The labels on these various chemicals and manufacturer's recommendations for cleaning TVUS probes should be consulted. A list of U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved disinfectants for reusable medical devices is available (FDA 2015). A similar document was issued by the International Organization for Standardization (2004).

Caution is necessary when handling some of these chemical disinfectants (e.g., Cidex) because they are potentially toxic and many require precautions such as adequate ventilation, personal protective wear (gloves, face/eye protection, etc.) and thorough rinsing before re-use of the transducer (see label for specific instructions). As a further caution, Most systems for chemical disinfection are such that the transducer handle is not in the solution and may remain contaminated, a risk for the end-user, as well as the patient (Buescher et al. 2016).

Recent studies have reported the persistence of HPV viruses with many of the traditionally accepted methods of disinfection described above (Ma et al. 2012; Meyers et al. 2014; Ryndock et al. 2016). In addition, there may be lapses in training and lack of adherence to protocols (if they exist) regarding recommended methods of transducer disinfection. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2015) issued an alert regarding this specific issue in September 2015. Therefore, alternative methods may have to be implemented.

One such method for sterilizing the probe is short-wave ultraviolet radiation (UVC, 200–280 nm) technology (Kac et al. 2010). After the transducer is cleaned with a towel/wipe impregnated with a disinfectant spray, UVC light is applied for 10 min. This results in rapid and complete eradication of bacteria and viruses. The entire transducer is enclosed in the commercially available appliances; hence, the handle is also disinfected. This technology, however, may not be available in many regions of the world, and human exposure to UVC light levels above recommended limits may cause erythema and keratoconjunctivitis.

A newly commercialized chemical method for high-level disinfection (Trophon EPR, Nanosonics, Alexandria, NSW, Australia) is based on an automated and closed system. It has been shown to be effective against all: Clostridium difficile; methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA, responsible for several difficult-to-treat infections); vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE); Mycobacterium terrae (surrogate pathogen for Mycobacterium tuberculosis); Staphylococcus aureus (one of the five most common causes of healthcare-acquired infections); Pseudomonas aeruginosa (a most common component of biofilms, highly resistant to antibiotics), Salmonella choleraisuis; Mycobacterium avium (opportunist pathogen affecting immune compromised patients and extremely difficult to control); Geobacillus stearothermophilus (heat-resistant microorganism); Clostridium sporogenes (anaerobic bacterium, highly resistant to heat, drying, toxic chemicals and detergents); Bacillus subtilis (protective endospore allows it to survive under extreme anaerobic or aerobic conditions); Aspergillus niger; Trichophyton mentagrophytes (one of the leading causes of hair, skin and nail infections in humans and difficult to control using chemicals); Candida albicans (causal agents of opportunistic oral and genital infections in humans); poliovirus (enterovirus recognized as the most resilient of viruses to disinfect., including HPV) (Johnson et al. 2013; Vickery et al. 2014). The process is tolerable, very efficient, rapid (approximately 7 min), environmentally friendly and quality-ensured and disinfects the transducer handle (Ngu et al. 2015). Of note, several of the referenced studies (Johnson et al. 2013; Meyers et al. 2014; Ngu et al. 2015; Ryndock et al. 2016; Vickery et al. 2014) were supported by grants from a commercial company (Nanosonics).

It is important to remember that regular household detergent wipes are used by many practitioners, but are not considered high-level disinfectants and can damage transducers.

The transducer should be covered with a barrier. This can be a commercially available condom or a dedicated commercial probe cover. Condoms should be non-lubricated and non-medicated. Condoms have been found to be less vulnerable to leakage than commercial probe covers (see above) and are superior to standard examination gloves and equivalent to surgical gloves. One needs to be
cautious of latex allergy. Some recommend a double cover when there is concern that disinfection is less than optimal.

Additional precautions

The ultrasound machine keyboard should undergo low-level disinfection after each examination. The transducer holder (if used) and the gel container should undergo low-level disinfection at the beginning and end of each day.

CONCLUSIONS

Removal of transducer cover (step 1), cleaning of the transducer (step 2) and application of a new transducer cover (step 4) are steps that are absolutely required. Disinfection (step 3) is also mandatory, and the method to be used should be discussed with local infection control authorities.
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