What is the diagnostic accuracy of 3D ultrasound in comparison to MRI for uterine anomalies?
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AIMS

- To determine the accuracy of 3D ultrasound in the characterisation of uterine anomalies (UAs) in comparison with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) via systematic review – Does 3D ultrasound (3D US) have the potential to replace MRI for the investigation of UAs?
- To make recommendations for local infertility pathways and protocol

BACKGROUND

Congenital uterine anomalies (UAs) range in severity and are caused by fusion failures at varied stages of embryological development. It is widely accepted that UAs are a risk factor for infertility and/or recurrent miscarriage with UAs occurring in approximately 13.3% of infertile patients and between 3% and 38% in patients with repeated spontaneous miscarriage.

JUSTIFICATION

- 3D US allows distinctions to be made between septate, bicornuate, arcuate and didelphic uteri.
- Diagnosis of arcuate or bicornuate uteri renders interventional or operative surgery unnecessary whereas septate uteri benefit from surgical repair
- 3D US could inform treatment options, speed up diagnoses and negate the use of further imaging or invasive tests.

METHODOLOGY

3698 initial citations reduced to 4 included in the literature review, reduced via inclusion/exclusion criteria. The 4 articles meeting the inclusion criteria were subject to quality assessment and data extraction. Articles included in the review:


RESULTS

Two studies which verified findings with hysteroscopy found 3D US to have higher diagnostic accuracy in the detection of UAs compared with MRI.

Accuracy of MR vs. 3DUS Combined from Ergenoglu et al. and Dewan et al.

Calculated weighted mean percentage when combining results

DISCUSSION

Quality assessment highlighted that incorporating the test under investigation into the reference standard is likely to inflate the estimate of the test’s diagnostic power. A criticism of the studies which use MRI as the reference standard are the assumptions made in the discrepancy cases for example, Graupera et al (2015) describe one case whereby 3D US diagnosed partial septum, MRI revealed normal morphology which was not investigated further. This is similarly evidenced in the study by Bermejo et al (2010) as 221 patients received neither the reference standard or further diagnostic tests.

However, findings by Ergenoglu et al. (2016), Dewan et al (2014), Bermejo et al. (2010) and Graupera et al. (2015) demonstrate the inferior accuracy of MRI in comparison with 3D US, an assertion also noted by Deutch and Abuhamad (2008). Therefore, rather than MRI supplanting invasive procedures a more pertinent suggestion given findings in this study would be to further investigate supplanting MRI with 3D US.

CONCLUSIONS

Despite the limited number of studies conducted, current research demonstrates that the diagnostic accuracy of 3D US in the detection and characterisation of UAs is comparable and indeed superior to MRI.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Include 3D US as a first line investigation in addition to 2D US for all infertility investigations. This will be implemented locally following multi-disciplinary team discussion for agreement of classification and regular audit of the service will be conducted to ensure quality and consistency
- Further high quality primary research involving large sample sizes which compares 3D US with MRI against surgical diagnosis in order to achieve a definitive answer to the question of accuracy
- Standardised classification criteria incorporating all possible variations and offering clear and distinct descriptions to facilitate diagnosis, evaluation of prognosis and treatment planning
- Adequate training for sonographers and radiologists
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