

PEER REVIEW AUDIT TOOL

					PEER R	EVIEW /	AUDI	т тос	DL		
		Date of S	can	Reporter					Machine / Site		
	[Date of Re	eview	Reviewer					Patient Identification		
					In	nage Qu	ality	(1)			
1							Score Comments				
3	Good	Good Image Quality									
2	Acce	otable Dia									
1	Poor	Poor Image Quality									
	(Imag	Images of an unacceptable standard)									
Report Quality (R)											
R							Comments				
3		ort Conte imal	nt and Stru	ucture							
2	Rep	Report of Acceptable Quality									
1	Poo	Poor Report Quality									
					Cli	nical Qu	ality	(C)			
C Yes						No	No Comments				
Clinical Referral Appropriate						*q					
Cli	Clinical Question Answered										
αA	Appropriate advice or conclusion										
(including no abnormality demonstrated)											
aeı	nonst	rated)									
Overall Score: Comments:											
	I		R			C*			Total:		

Descriptors:

BMUS»

IMAGE QUALITY (I)

3 Good Image Quality High quality examination. Organs identified by characteristic features and

/ or labelling. Appropriate measurements made. May include suboptimal images but with evidence that this was due to patient factors and $\,$

attempts have been made to address these.

2 Acceptable Diagnostic Quality Reasonable image quality but a few poorer quality images and

parameters (i.e. incorrect focus, measurement, protocol, colour, label,

etc)

1 Poor Image Quality Images of an unacceptable standard

REPORT QUALITY (R)

3 Report Content and Structure Optimal Report answers clinical questions and gives appropriate advice

and conclusion (within local guidelines). Report may also include additional clinical information gained from verbal feedback from patient and include documentation of any

information given to the patient.

2 Report of Acceptable Quality Report satisfactory but additional diagnosis or advice could

have been provided

1 Poor Report Quality Report of an unacceptable standard. List of descriptive findings with no attempt to correlate to clinical setting or answer clinical

question posed. May also include disagreement with the report

findings

CLINICAL QUALITY (C)

Yes = 1 point, No = 0 points

Clinical Referral Appropriate

The referral contains a clear clinical question and is appropriate for ultrasound imaging. See BMUS recommendations for

justification of referrals.

*NB add q to total score if NO to differentiate between

examination quality and referral quality

Clinical Question Answered The report answers the clinical question posed or the question

gleaned from questioning the patient during the examination

Appropriate advice or conclusion The report includes a conclusion or appropriate advice where

applicable and in line with local guidelines. This may include a statement of normality including no abnormality demonstrated

or no cause for symptoms in normal examinations

Total score from 9 =